# AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2016 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 1: Richard Wilbur, "Juggler"

The score should reflect the quality of the essay as a whole — its content, style, and mechanics. **Reward the students for what they do well.** The score for an exceptionally well-written essay may be raised by 1 point above the otherwise appropriate score. In no case may a poorly written essay be scored higher than a 3.

- **9–8** These essays offer a persuasive analysis of Wilbur's use of poetic elements to convey how the speaker describes the juggler and what that description reveals about the speaker. The writers of these essays offer a range of interpretations. They provide convincing readings of the description of the juggler, what it reveals about the speaker, and Wilbur's use of poetic elements such as imagery, figurative language, and tone. They demonstrate consistent and effective control over the elements of composition in language appropriate to the analysis of poetry. Their textual references are apt and specific. Though they may not be error-free, these essays are perceptive in their analysis and demonstrate writing that is clear and sophisticated, and in the case of a 9 essay, especially persuasive.
- **7–6** These essays offer a reasonable analysis of Wilbur's use of poetic elements to convey how the speaker describes the juggler and what that description reveals about the speaker. They are less thorough or less precise in their analysis of Wilbur's description of the juggler and what it reveals about the speaker, and their analysis of Wilbur's use of poetic elements is less thorough or convincing. These essays demonstrate the student's ability to express ideas clearly, making references to the text, although they do not exhibit the same level of effective writing as the 9–8 essays. Essays scored a 7 present better-developed analysis and more consistent command of the elements of effective composition than do essays scored a 6.
- These essays respond to the assigned task with a plausible analysis of Wilbur's use of poetic elements to describe the juggler and what the description reveals about the speaker, but they tend to be superficial or pedestrian in their analysis of the description and of the use of poetic elements. They often rely on paraphrase, which may contain some analysis, implicit or explicit. Their analysis of the description and what it reveals or of Wilbur's use of poetic elements may be vague, formulaic, or minimally supported by references to the text. There may be minor misinterpretations of the poem. These writers demonstrate some control of language, but their essays may be marred by surface errors. These essays are not as well conceived, organized, or developed as 7–6 essays.
- **4–3** These lower-half essays fail to offer an adequate analysis of the use of poetic elements to describe the juggler and what it reveals about the speaker. The analysis may be partial, unconvincing, or irrelevant, or it may ignore the description, what it reveals about the speaker, or Wilbur's use of poetic elements. Evidence from the poem may be slight or misconstrued, or the essays may rely on paraphrase only. The writing often demonstrates a lack of control over the conventions of composition: inadequate development of ideas, accumulation of errors, or a focus that is unclear, inconsistent, or repetitive. Essays scored a 3 may contain significant misreading and/or demonstrate inept writing.
- **2–1** These essays compound the weaknesses of the papers in the 4–3 range. Although some attempt has been made to respond to the prompt, the student's assertions are presented with little clarity, organization, or support from the poem. These essays may contain serious errors in grammar and mechanics. They may offer a complete misreading or be unacceptably brief. Essays scored a 1 contain little coherent discussion of the poem.
- **0** These essays give a response that is completely off topic or inadequate; there may be some mark or a drawing or a brief reference to the task.
- These essays are entirely blank.

1

Richard Little those enter the spenker arona diction evoking overcore gravity to lift spirits as well to suctioney brilliance. appears evoking a tore that could of disappearing utter normalcy. Before the jungler appears, disconnected. However, immediately aliferation, assonce and droves. aruzin ot airiness. of lightness, of free spirit, Pol The juggler through some disoberance of Earth's perment great lighters the mod of the poet and the to fruht against the Earth's ineratable pull is furth

| 1 (30                                 |
|---------------------------------------|
|                                       |
| yaler, the andrew observe             |
| He affect of that                     |
| atur of this lack of                  |
| en adds a brevily and                 |
|                                       |
| not present in the southers           |
| on objects begod the                  |
| he of brooking the pull               |
| wher realizes by the                  |
| d sue.                                |
| <i>j</i> .                            |
| .2                                    |
|                                       |
|                                       |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| £                                     |
| _ >                                   |
| ·                                     |
|                                       |

Q1, BI

devices such as imagen, establishes the speaker or author's DOCH the juggler Speaker: elements the juggler and his balls) figurative the balls interactive (the playful mood of the first two of the last three Gazin Wibur employs imagery Speaker. the vivid the Creates The nameless ordinan Controls transforms them tools 2 sky-blue show. Wiburs use nature of the through descriptions as pleasant and 20 ane both describes Several forms 2

Q1 B2

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering (2043) on this page as it is designated in the exam.

further create the the character's image the Speaker. Throughout the poem, personification to give the juggler's balls Lthe hall is not /A Palling is what it persontication aives the mystery and Speaker recognizes the balls which further creates audience member amazed at the jugalers Haditionally table is turning the speaker full of hander tone of the employ Maging torm a lightheated, ain, and Books indicentor Shift following DI the 1255 more while

| Juggler boy Richard Wilher is a goen about a juggler to which             |
|---|
| Willow Seems to like and admire, The Juggle is a sky-hire juggler. The    |
| Juster is an anasing and astonishing juster. The Jugaier is a man with    |
| Powers the is also a feacher. He teacher bills in "ways of lightness"(9). |
| The poen is all about the Juggler a sous Willow uses inger, figurative    |
| Canquege, and tone to describe him  |
| Wither starts the poem characteristics by painting an inege of            |
| a bull that bounces but losses it's bounce on because of the forces of    |
| hater. They southenly it comes to a step and "10 forgotition" (5). The    |
| Rederencemente de la la depide de la juster as a                          |
| "Sky blue juggier" (6), not just any juggler and not just any blue Wilbur |
| describes to unique juggler and a powerfil juggler, one that gives        |
| I of to the simple balls, He transforms them into responses growing his   |
| finger endo" (9-10). The juggler changes he simple talks to objects like  |
| a fable, a broom, and a plake naking tim awazing Wilber to                |
| to be engine one surgers that the juggler has respect for his             |
| and says good bye " to them.  |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

## AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2016 SCORING COMMENTARY

#### Question 1

#### Overview

Ouestion 1, the poetry question, asked students to read carefully "Juggler," a poem by the modern American poet Richard Wilbur, and write an essay in which they analyzed how the speaker in the poem describes the juggler and what that description reveals about the speaker. The prompt suggested that students consider such poetic elements as imagery, figurative language, and tone as ways to engage with the poem and the required focus of the analysis. Since the poetry question is typically the most challenging of the free-response questions, students are usually offered poetic elements as a way to access the poem with an eye toward how the poet employs these elements as vehicles for conveying meaning. Further, this prompt was deliberately worded so that students would remember to engage the poem as a complete work and not fall into the trap of simply commenting haphazardly on how the poet used one element or another or simply listing what the poetic elements describe.

Sample: 1A Score: 9

This sophisticated essay exhibits many of the qualities of sound college-level composition. It is cogent, organized, and engages thoroughly with the prompt and poem, analyzing both the description of the juggler and what that description reveals about the speaker. The essay analyzes the poem expertly to build a persuasive, well-supported argument that the speaker is amazed and uplifted by the juggler's ability to transcend constraints that trammel the ordinary individual. The essay purposefully references many poetic elements in the poem, including devices of sound, rhyme scheme, and diction. These, the essay argues, evoke "an image of a juggler managing to overcome gravity, to lift spirits as well as objects" and reveal the speaker's "own inability to overcome dull monotonous days forever lacking brilliance." The essay is especially insightful in its discussion of the "unusual format" of the rhyme scheme: the "somewhat circular abcbac rhyme" evokes "the break of normalcy which the juggler exhibits — balls no longer come back to earth when expected, just like the rhyme doesn't appear when most readers would likely expect it to. The juggler, thus, unlike everyone else, appears to have broken gravity's spell, a feat which the speaker cannot help be impressed by — the juggler's actions reduce them to childlike joy again." While the essay is not error-free, its persuasive analysis of poetic elements elaborates its argument in generally clear, controlled, elegant prose and earned a score of 9.

Sample: 1B Score: 6

Compared to the 9 essay, which analyzes poetic elements in an integrated way to show how they work together to generate meaning, this mid-range essay is reasonable but less sophisticated and less convincing in its approach to the poem. It lists various devices and treats meaning as almost a secondary concern. The result of this approach is a formulaic and somewhat labored essay that progresses steadily through discussions of figures, sound devices, and tone to support a repeated claim about the speaker's "awe" of the juggler. The discussion of imagery, for example, yields the simple claim that "Wilbur's use of 'sky-blue' shows the soft, playful nature of the juggler"; combined with euphony, this "constructs a pleasant and playful character for the speaker to take awe of." Discussions of personification, alliteration, and tone exhibit a similarly limited understanding of the poem as a series of effects. Although the essay references the poem, and its language is clear, it repeatedly returns to the same point about the juggler's playfulness without elaborating this point. It does not exhibit the same depth, precision, or facility with language as essays at the higher levels on the scoring guide. While the essay as a whole is reasonable, it is not sophisticated or incisive. Consequently, this essay earned a score of 6.

## AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2016 SCORING COMMENTARY

### Question 1 (continued)

Sample: 1C Score: 2

This essay declares in its opening that the poem is "about a juggler which [sic] Wilbur seems to like and admire" and observes that the juggler is a teacher who "teaches balls the 'ways of lightness.'" Although the essay does attempt to elaborate on these observations, it does not adequately analyze these concrete statements or explore their figurative possibilities. It echoes the prompt in stating that the poem uses "imagery, figurative language, and tone to describe" the juggler but does not go on to analyze how any of those elements are deployed in the poem. Thereafter, the essay consists primarily of disjointed paraphrase, but these sentences do not enlighten the reader; they rely on the reader to infer or construct meaning. One example is the sentence "He depicts the juggler as a 'sky-blue juggler' ... not just any juggler and not just any blue," which is presented without further explanation, leaving the reader to guess at the significance of the specific color. This vagueness reflects the generally poor compositional control of this brief essay; presenting its underdeveloped ideas with little clarity or organization, this essay earned a score of 2.