## AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2006 SCORING GUIDELINES

#### Question 1

(Robert Penn Warren's "Evening Hawk")

The score reflects the quality of the essay as a whole—its content, its style, its mechanics. Students are rewarded for what they do well. The score for an exceptionally well-written essay may be raised by 1 point above the otherwise appropriate score. In no case may a poorly written essay be scored higher than a 3.

- **9–8** These essays offer a persuasive analysis of the language the poet uses to describe the scene and to convey mood and meaning. Although these essays offer a range of interpretations and choose to emphasize different poetic techniques, these papers provide convincing readings of the poem and demonstrate consistent and effective control over the elements of composition in language appropriate to the analysis of poetry. Their textual references are apt and specific. Though they may not be error-free, these essays are perceptive in their analysis and demonstrate writing that is clear and sophisticated, and in the case of a 9 essay, especially persuasive.
- 7-6 These competent essays offer a reasonable analysis of the language the poet uses to describe the scene and to convey mood and meaning. They are less thorough or less precise in their discussion of the themes and techniques, and their analysis of the relationship between the language and the themes is less convincing. These essays demonstrate the student's ability to express ideas clearly with references to the text, although they do not exhibit the same level of effective writing as the 9-8 papers. While essays scored 7-6 are generally well written, those scored a 7 demonstrate more sophistication in both substance and style.
- These essays may respond to the assigned task with a plausible reading of the poem, but they may be superficial in analysis of theme and technique. They often rely on paraphrase but paraphrase that contains some analysis, implicit or explicit. Their analysis of the language of the poem may be vague, formulaic, or inadequately supported by references to the text. There may be minor misinterpretations. These students demonstrate control of language, but the writing may be marred by surface errors. These essays are not as well conceived, organized, or developed as 7–6 essays.
- **4–3** These lower-half essays fail to offer an adequate analysis of the language of the poem. The analysis may be partial, unconvincing, or irrelevant. Evidence from the poem may be slight or misconstrued, or the essays may rely on paraphrase only. The writing often demonstrates a lack of control over the conventions of composition: inadequate development of ideas, accumulation of errors, or a focus that is unclear, inconsistent, or repetitive. Essays scored a 3 may contain significant misreadings and/or demonstrate inept writing.
- **2–1** These essays compound the weaknesses of the papers in the 4–3 range. Although some attempt has been made to respond to the prompt, the student's assertions are presented with little clarity, organization, or support from the poem. They may contain serious errors in grammar and mechanics. These essays may offer a complete misreading or be unacceptably brief. Essays scored a 1 contain little coherent discussion of the poem.
- **0** These essays give a response with no more than a reference to the task.
- These essays are either left blank or are completely off topic.

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the examination.

dun mislead in their foolings austions immortality nature dichon by the narrator wad belief Time and fragility appears discriptions of "tunultyour analo violently 7 ine meaning it gentle bird viciously

Dasement

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the examination.
up a scene of foreboding for the future of humans.
Manhind's actions are not exalted instead the narrator
deports the hawky and thus nature as the controller of
duce and Time. Humanity produces nothing but "stalles" of
heavy with "error" The poem suggests a grim notion, that
people and are not in control of their lives and are
subject to the unbaised judgement of a "steel-edged"
bird.
#
·

The language used in Robert Penn Warren's poem,
Evening Hank, conveys the mood and mening by providing
the reader with images that give him or her certain Feelings.
These Feelings are of speculative are and some inscorre
experiency.
In the First Stanza, Winen's language is one of
translated simpleity in the way he describes the howks
actions. He is not very elegat, but he has an adjustive,
For classit every noun, that portrays a Feeling of contacting
ideas. In line two, the personification of the sun building
# "geometries and orches" is such as contrasted with the cockless fromthe
descriptions of "the last transtrons avalanche of light above pones
end the gettine garge." The contrast between an advanced sur and
a primative earth give a feeling of separation.
Stanza two and line ten are connected by their
common thread of agricultural amoges. The lines from e six
through ten provide the reader with the image of a
how souther cutting down the finits of Time. Although
the second stanza's image is one of horrest, the language in
line ten provides a different idea. The beary gold of the grain is notefman's good fruits, butofman's errors and
the grain is notefman's good fruits, butofinan's errors and
mrs rakes.
The blank in the Forth stanza is shown as a judge,
high above the earth, by the use of the language in lines theteen

and fourteen: He is shown as the one who forms
does not Forgue. The hank does not associate himself with
the earth but with "the last light who knows wether Time nor error."
The language in this possey put of the passage provides in sense
of Ired and grow expectation by utilizing the parallelism
of an inferging eye and on informer mortd.
The last two stanzes show the reder, through the
language, the derkness and immensity of the world. It is shown
to be night as Warren reverly by snying, "Longram, the last
thrush is 41.11. The language in the last stance, bower, shows
everything man knows as worthless. The Earth is so old . It formalist
on its axis and history Edops) in durkness like a leaking pape in
the cellar."
All when all is said and done, the reader is left
with a feeling of insignificance, provided by the dependent linguinge
Warren chose to use to describe many throngs markent holds
is high esteem.
,

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the examination. Robert Kenn venina

Write in the box the number of the question you are an weing on this page as it is designated in the examination.
manipulated to show the strength and beauty of the
hawk. In-those stanzas, there are no periods
to chap up the thought except for at the end. In
this way, Warren shows that the hawks flight also
appears as a smooth movement. The syntax throughout
"Evening Hawk" is swift and steady, like the hawk
itself.
Robert Penn Warren's "Evening Hawk" paints a picture
of a howk in flight, set in front of a mountainous,
rugged landscape. By using strong diction and flowing
syntax, Warren conveys his admiration for the scene
before him, and his interpretation of the scene as
both strong and elegant. He utilizes Syntax and
both strong and elegant. He utilizes Syntax and diction to Further show his belief that the hawk is
the opitome of strength.
#

### AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2006 SCORING COMMENTARY

#### Question 1

#### Overview

Students were asked to read carefully a poem, Robert Penn Warren's "Evening Hawk," and then to write a well-organized essay in which they identified the speaker's attitude toward the hawk and the natural world. In this essay, they were expected to analyze how the poet uses language to convey mood and meaning. The intent of this question was to test students' ability to read closely, paying attention to the resources of language used to convey the attitude of the speaker. To respond to the question successfully, students needed to have a firm grasp of the devices and techniques employed by poets and then to arrive at an analytical and defensible reading of the poem. Because this poem is allusive, rich, and challenging, most students were able to respond to it on some level, and the able students had many opportunities to demonstrate their skills.

Sample: 1A Score: 8

This superior essay is very nicely argued and compact, saying a great deal in a few words. From the excellent opening paragraph, which succinctly contrasts the "fragility of mankind" with the hawk's strength as a "powerful master of time," this student demonstrates an ability to be in complete control. The single body paragraph, over one-and-a-half pages long, moves through the poem systematically, discussing Robert Penn Warren's use of language in detail. For example, "The hawk appears 'out of a [sic] peak's black angularity of shadow'" and the following discussion aptly notes that "such language depicts the rigid, mathematical lines that the world is made of." Throughout the essay, brief quotations followed by succinct but probing commentary demonstrate just how adroit this student is at getting to the heart of a poem.

Sample: 1B Score: 6

This competent essay offers a reasonable analysis of the language Robert Penn Warren employs to convey mood and meaning in the poem. The student insightfully recognizes a disjunction between the realm of the hawk and the realm of mankind: "The language in the last stanza ... shows everything man knows as worthless." In contrast to the "personification of the sun building 'geometries and orchids,'" the realm of the "earth is so old it '[grinds] on its axis,' and 'history [drips] in darkness like a leaking pipe in the cellar.'" As a result, "the reader is left with a feeling of insignificance, provided by the despondant [sic] language Warren chose to use to describe many things mankind holds in high esteem." As shown by this concluding remark, this is an essay that grows into understanding; the discussion of the earlier stanzas of the poem is less probing and precise. There, quotations are followed by rather brief and flatfooted analyses, such as when the student asserts that the "contrast between an advanced sun and a primitive earth gives a feeling of separation." As a result, the student's overall analysis is less convincing than that of papers in the highest scoring range.

# AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2006 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 1 (continued)

Sample: 1C Score: 4

The weakness of this essay is signaled from the very start, when the student asserts that Robert Penn Warren uses many language techniques to signal his admiration of the hawk, "primarily diction and syntax." Since all writing involves words and their arrangement, this is not an auspicious beginning. While some of the student's analyses are sound, others are quite weak and tautological, such as the statement that "Many of the adjectives chosen by Warren in describing the hawk are complex and elegant," making the hawk "appear complex and elegant," too. The analysis of this poem is finally partial: only the hawk is discussed, and the contrast Warren provides with less-perfect, human actions, or with the earth "grind[ing] on its axis," seems unnoticed or—at least—unexplored. Thus this response finally fails to offer an adequate analysis of the language of the poem.